HIDMANUL TO: Sidney C. Sovers, Concutive Secretary

Mational Scourity Council

IROM: Mosoro. Allen - Dulles

Mithias P. Corres

SUDJECT: Intoria Report No. 2: Relations between Secret

Operations and Secret Intalligance

In connection with our study of the intelligence operations of the Generalist, purticularly those of CIA, we have been instant that a plan for developing contain other covert operations is being cubalited to the NSC. As we universized it, such operations would be directed particularly towards affording encouragement to the freedom-loving classate in these countries which have been over-run by Communical and toward combatting by covert means the opposed of Communical influence.

We understand that it is suggested that a Director of Special Studies, to be nominated by the Secretary of State, and appointed by the ISC, with a staff of nine reabers assigned from the Department of State, the armod services and CIA, be given the responsibility to develop and give perchal direction to a program of covert exerctions as indicated above, impluding work in the covert psychological field, which under ESC (a has already been initiated in CIA.

The question of policy involved in these measures is not directly within our terms of reference. However, these projects have an important bearing on the inture of the intelligence operations of CIA,

em, for this reason, we fool justified in communiting on this place of the subjects

We englost, in particular, that further attention should be given to the names for the carrying out of the special operations contemplated and the relation between these operations and the proper occulent of secret intelligence.

A central planning and coordinating staff, as proposed in the new plan, is essential, but the controlised control of operations is equally important. In this delicate field, actual control must be exercised by the Director, who should be in inticate touch not only with plans and policies but also with the details of the operations. So do not believe that these types of operation can be "formed" out to various existing agencies of the towerment without jeograficing their effectiveness and involving serious security ricks. In particular, it would be dangurous to have several unrelated and uncorrelated characters operations courted out in such sensitive areas.

ing of wires in the use of classication agents, and carious rich for the chains and agents used in the respective operations. In our opinion, the Director and staff, if removed from actual operations, as apparently contemplated under the proposed NSO directive, would not be able to central this situation.

In carrying out these apacial operations, the Director and his ciair chould have intimate knowledge of what is teing done in the field of secret intelligence and access to all the facilities which may be

built up through a properly constituted scoret intelligence network. Secret operations, particularly through support of resistance groups, provide one of the next important sources of secret intelligence, and the information gained from secret intelligence must impodiately be put to use in guiding and directing secret operations. In many cases it is nacessary to determine whether a particular agent or chain should primarily be used for secret intelligence or for secret operations, because the attempt to prove both uses may enlarger the security of cache.

obread both under contemplated will require a staff operating cover, as in the case of secret intelligence. Unless the personnel for both operations is under one overall control in Sachington, oven thou is measure of insulation is provided in the field, there is likely to be overlapping of activities and functions in critical areas which will important security.

The Allied experience in the carrying out of secret operations and secret intelligence during the last war has pointed up the close relationship of the two sectivities.

for example, who that separate systems during the war, have now come around to the view that secret intelligence and secret operations should be carried out under a single operational head and have reorganized their services accordingly.

- 2. That a Director, subject to appropriate policy guidance of suggested in the proposed MUC directive, should be made responsible for all forms of covert activities, impluiting secret intelligence, secret operations, claudestine psychological work and such other covert operations as may be assigned to the Director by the NEC.
- 2. That cach brunch of these covert activities should be under a clief reporting to the ideactor.
- 3. That the Lirector chould be invedictely responsible to the RSC or to the Director of CIA as the RSC might determine.

G & &

The practical effect of the decision reached under point 3 would be to determine whether CIA should continue to be charged with the collection of secret intelligence. It would, in any case, continue to be the recipiont of all intelligence collected by the Edrector of Special Studies, and even if secret intelligence were removed from its control, CIA might be used as a "cover" agency for the new operations.

It had been our intention to reserve for our final report our recommendations as to whother the collection of secret intelligence thould or thould not continue to be a function of the CIA, as we had which to complete our emvey of the entire intelligence cot-up before dealing with this key question.

licever, if the MC should now determine that a program of secret operations is to be undertaken, and desires any further views

COUNTY SECONDLY AND TAIL OF ALL PART OF AL

CELLER

TRANSCRIBED PAGES FOLLOW

13 May 1948

MEMORANDUM TO: Sidney W. Souers, Executive Secretary

National Security Council

FROM: Messrs. Allen W. Dulles

Mathias F. Correa William M. Jackson

SUBJECT: Interim Report No. 2: Relations between Secret

Operations and Secret Intelligence

In connection with our study of the intelligence operations of the Government, particularly those of CIA, we have been informed that a plan for developing certain other covert operations is being submitted to the NSC. As we understand it, such operations would be directed particularly towards affording encouragement to the freedom-loving elements in those countries which have been over-run by Communism and toward combating by covert means the spread of Communist influence.

We understand that it is suggested that a Director of Special Studies, to be nominated by the Secretary of State, and appointed by the NSC, with a staff of nine members assigned from the Department of State, the armed services and CIA, be given the responsibility to develop and give general direction to a program of covert operations as indicated above, including work in the covert psychological field, which under NSC 4a has already been initiated in CIA.

The question of policy involved in these measures is not directly within our terms of reference. However, these projects have an important bearing on the future of the intelligence operations of CIA,

(Page 2)

and, for this reason, we feel justified in commenting on this phase of the subject.

We suggest, in particular, that further attention should be given to the means for the carrying out of the special operations contemplated and the relation between these operations and the proper conduct of secret intelligence.

A central planning and coordinating staff, as proposed in the new plan, is essential, but the centralized control of operations is equally important. In this delicate field, actual control must be exercised by the Director, who should be in intimate touch not only with plans and policies but also with the details of the operations. We do not believe that these types of operation can be "farmed" out to various existing agencies of the Government without jeopardizing their effectiveness and involving serious security risks. In particular, it would be dangerous to have several unrelated and uncorrelated clandestine operations carried out in such sensitive areas [Excised] [.....Excised.....] There would be duplication of effort, crossing of wires in the use of clandestine agents, and serious risk for the chains and agents used in the respective operations. In our opinion, the Director and staff, if removed from actual operations, as apparently contemplated under the proposed NSC directive, would not be able to control this situation.

In carrying out these special operations, the Director and his staff should have intimate knowledge of what is being done in the field of secret intelligence and access to all the facilities which may be

(Page 3)

built up through a properly constituted secret intelligence network. Secret operations, particularly through support of resistance groups, provide one of the most important sources of secret intelligence, and the information gained from secret intelligence must immediately be put to use in guiding and directing secret operations. In many cases it is necessary to determine whether a particular agent or chain should be primarily used for secret intelligence or for secret operations, because the attempt to press both uses may endanger the security of each.

The special operations contemplated will require a staff operating abroad both under [.....Excised........]cover, as in the case of secret intelligence. Unless the personnel for both operations is under one overall control in Washington, even though a measure of insulation is provided in the field, there is likely to be overlapping of activities and functions in critical areas which will imperil security.

The Allied experience in the carrying out of secret operations and secret intelligence during the last war has pointed up the close relationship of the two activities. [Excised] for example, who had separate systems during the war, have now come around to the view that secret intelligence and secret operations should be carried out under a single operational hand and have reorganized their services accordingly.

* * *

(Page 4)

We recommend:

- 1. That a Director, subject to appropriate policy guidance as suggested in the proposed NSC directive, should be made responsible for all forms of covert activities, including secret intelligence, secret operations, clandestine psychological work and such other covert operations as may be assigned to the Director by the NSC.
- 2. That each branch of these covert activities should be under a chief reporting to the Director.
- 3. That the Director should be immediately responsible to the NSC or to the Director of CIA as the NSC might determine.

* * *

The practical effect of the decision reached under point 3 would be to determine whether CIA should continue to be charged with the collection of secret intelligence. It would, in any case, continue to be the recipient of all intelligence collected by the Director of Special Studies, and even if secret intelligence were removed from its control, CIA might be used as a "cover" agency for the new operations.

It had been our intention to reserve for our final report our recommendations as to whether the collection of secret intelligence should or should not continue to be a function of the CIA, as we had wished to complete our survey of the entire intelligence set-up before dealing with this key question.

However, if the NSC should now determine that a program of secret operations is to be undertaken, and desires any further views

(Page 5)

from us as to how this would affect the handling of secret intelligence, we shall be glad to submit a report on this subject.

REPORTS: HOOVER COMMISSION